

Uniqueness of dilaton Melvin-Schwarzschild solution

Marek Rogatko

Institute of Physics

Maria Curie-Skłodowska University

20-031 Lublin, pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1, Poland

marek.rogatko@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl

rogat@kft.umcs.lublin.pl

(Dated: January 26, 2016)

We show that dilaton Melvin-Schwarzschild black hole solution is the only asymptotically dilaton Melvin static axisymmetric black hole solution of Einstein-Maxwell dilaton equations of motion.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Bw, 04.50.Kd, 04.20.-q

I. INTRODUCTION

Melvin solution in Einstein-Maxwell gravity describes a regular and static cylindrically symmetric solution representing a bundle of magnetic flux lines in magnetostatic-gravitational equilibrium [1, 2]. On the other hand, it provides a uniform magnetic field in the realm of general relativity. Next, the considerations pertaining to the description of a rotating time-dependent magnetic universe were conceived in [3], while the problem of gravitational waves traveling through the universe in question was tackled in Ref.[4].

There was also a great interest in black holes behavior in magnetic universe. For the first time, the exact solution describing a black hole in Melvin universe was achieved in [5]. The ultrastatic boost of Schwarzschild black hole immersed in an external electromagnetic field was studied in [6], while magnetized static black hole acting as a gravitational lens was examined in Ref.[7]. Detailed studies of ergoregions and thermodynamics of magnetized black hole solutions were conducted in Refs.[8] (see also the earlier works connected with black holes in magnetized universe, e.g., [9]), while the studies of spectral line broadening effect in spacetime of non-rotating magnetized black hole were elaborated in [10]. The problem of magnetic fields in the expanding universe was tackled in [11]. Magnetized black hole solutions were also established in generalization of Einstein-Maxwell theory, in heterotic string theory, were a Melvin universe with nontrivial dilaton and axion fields was founded [12, 13]. On the other hand, a Melvin flux tube universe can be also realized as the Kaluza-Klein reduction from a flat higher spacetime with nontrivial identifications. Such solution generating technique which allows to embed the dilaton C-metric in dilaton magnetic universe was presented [14], whereas the case of a pair creation of the extremal black hole and Kaluza-Klein monopoles was examined in [15]. Melvin type solution was also studied in gravity theories minimally coupled to any nonlinear electromagnetic theory, including Born-Infeld electrodynamics [16].

A new Melvin-like solution with a Liouville type potential was given in Ref.[17], whereas electrically charged dilaton black hole in magnetic field was considered in [18]. The generalization of the aforementioned problems in higher dimensional gravity was given in [19], where magnetized static and rotating black holes in arbitrary dimensions and magnetized black rings in five-dimensions were examined. The researches were based on applying a Harrison transformation to the known black hole and black ring solutions. On the other hand, the higher dimensional dilaton gravity case was treated in Ref.[20]. This subject is also treated in M-theory, where a version of Melvin universe was found in type II A and type 0A string theory [21].

The subject of black hole magnetized solutions was also treated by Ernst's solution generating technique, where black hole solutions in magnetic universe in Einstein-Maxwell theory coupled conformally to a scalar field [22], as well as, axisymmetric stationary black holes with cosmological constant [23] were considered. These techniques were used to obtain a C-metric with conformally coupled scalar field in magnetic universe [24] and exact and regular solution describing a couple of charged spinning black hole in an external electromagnetic field [25]. Recently, there was presented a solution of Einstein-Maxwell theory unifying both magnetic Bertotti-Robinson and Melvin solution as a single axisymmetric line element [26].

On the other hand, gravitational collapse and emergence of black holes were paid attention to. The most intriguing was Wheller's *black hole no-hair* conjecture or its mathematical formulation, the uniqueness theorem (problem of classification of domains of outer communication of suitably regular black hole spacetimes). The first attempts to classify non-singular static black hole solutions in Einstein gravity was undertaken in [27] and some more results were gained in Refs.[28]-[34]. The complete classification of static vacuum and electro-vacuum black hole solutions was finished in [35, 36], where the condition of non-degeneracy of the event horizon was removed as well as it was proved that all degenerate components of the black hole event horizon have charges of the same signs.

As far as stationary axisymmetric black holes is concerned, the problem turned out to be far more complicated [37]

and the complete uniqueness proof was achieved by Mazur [38] and Bunting [39] (see for a review of the uniqueness of black hole solutions story see [40] and references therein). In higher dimensional generalization of gravity theory motivated by the contemporary unifications schemes such as M/string theories the classification of higher dimensional charged black holes both with non-degenerate and degenerate component of the event horizon was proposed in Refs.[41], while some progress concerning the nontrivial case of n -dimensional rotating black objects (black holes, black rings or black lenses) uniqueness theorem were presented in [42]. On the other hand, the behavior of matter fields in the spacetime of higher dimensional black hole was examined in [43].

The desire of constructing a consistent quantum gravity theory triggered also interests in mathematical aspects of black holes in the low-energy limit of the string theories and supergravity [44]. On the other hand, various modifications of Einstein gravity such as the Gauss-Bonnet extension were examined from the point of view of black hole uniqueness theorem. The strictly stationary static vacuum spacetimes was discussed in [45], while it turned out that up to the small curvature limit, static uncharged or electrically charged Gauss-Bonnet black hole is diffeomorphic to Schwarzschild-Tangherlini or Reissner-Nordström black hole solution, respectively [46]. On the other hand, in Chern-Simons modified gravity it was proved that a static asymptotically flat black hole solution is unique to be Schwarzschild spacetime [47], while electrically charged black hole in the theory in question is diffeomorphic to Reissner-Nordström black hole [48].

Motivated by the aforementioned problems concerning both magnetized axially symmetric black hole solutions as well as their classification, we shall consider the problem of the uniqueness static dilaton magnetized Schwarzschild black hole. The first attempts to establish the uniqueness of the static Melvin solution in Einstein-Maxwell gravity was attributed to Hiscock [49], where the generalization of the Israel's theorem was presented. In our research, we prove that dilaton Melvin-Schwarzschild black hole solution is the only asymptotically dilaton Melvin static axisymmetric black hole solution of Einstein-Maxwell dilaton equations of motion.

The organization of our paper is as follows. Sec.I is devoted to the equations of motion for a static axially symmetric system with $U(1)$ -gauge Maxwell matter source, in the low-energy limit of the heterotic string theory. In Sec.II we provide the uniqueness theorem proof revealing that the only static axially symmetric solution in the theory in question with S^2 -topology of the event horizon is the Melvin-Schwarzschild dilaton black hole. In Sec.III we conclude our investigations.

II. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Our main aim of the paper will be to show the uniqueness of static axisymmetric magnetized black hole, the so-called dilaton Melvin-Schwarzschild black hole, in dilaton gravity being the low-energy limit of the heterotic string theory. In this section we analyze the equation of motion for static axisymmetric line element in the theory under consideration, which action yields

$$I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2(\nabla\varphi)^2 - e^{-2\varphi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \right), \quad (1)$$

where the strength of the Maxwell gauge field is described by $F_{\mu\nu} = 2\nabla_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}$. The resulting equations of motion, derived from the variational principle, are provided by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2\varphi} \left(2F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu}{}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} F^2 \right) + 2\nabla_{\mu}\varphi \nabla_{\nu}\varphi, \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} \varphi + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\varphi} F^2 = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla_{\mu} (e^{-2\varphi} F^{\mu\nu}) = 0. \quad (4)$$

A line element appropriate to the static axisymmetric spacetime can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\psi} dt^2 + e^{-2\psi} [e^{2\gamma} (d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2], \quad (5)$$

where the functions ψ and γ depended only on ρ and z coordinates. In our considerations we assume that the non-zero components of the $U(1)$ -gauge strength tensor will be in the form $A_{\mu} = (A_t, 0, 0, A_{\phi})$. Moreover, one supposes that the gauge field components are also functions of ρ and z . Consequently, the underlying equations of motion imply

$$\nabla^2 \varphi - e^{-2\psi-2\varphi} \left(A_{t,\rho}^2 + A_{t,z}^2 \right) + \frac{e^{2\psi-2\varphi}}{\rho^2} \left(A_{\phi,\rho}^2 + A_{\phi,z}^2 \right) = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla^2 \psi - e^{-2\psi-2\varphi} \left(A_{t,\rho}^2 + A_{t,z}^2 \right) - \frac{e^{2\psi-2\varphi}}{\rho^2} \left(A_{\phi,\rho}^2 + A_{\phi,z}^2 \right) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla^2 A_t - 2 \left(\psi_{,\rho} + \varphi_{,\rho} \right) A_{t,\rho} - 2 \left(\psi_{,z} + \varphi_{,z} \right) A_{t,z} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta A_\phi + 2 \left(\psi_{,\rho} - \varphi_{,\rho} \right) A_{\phi,\rho} + 2 \left(\psi_{,z} - \varphi_{,z} \right) A_{\phi,z} = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$e^{-2\psi-2\varphi} \left(A_{t,\rho}^2 - A_{t,z}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} e^{2\psi-2\varphi} \left(A_{\phi,\rho}^2 - A_{\phi,z}^2 \right) + \left(\varphi_{,z}^2 - \varphi_{,\rho}^2 \right) = \psi_{,\rho}^2 - \psi_{,z}^2 - \frac{\gamma_{,\rho}}{\rho}, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{,z}}{\rho} - 2\psi_{,\rho}\psi_{,z} = -2e^{-2\psi-2\varphi} A_{t,\rho} A_{t,z} + \frac{2}{\rho^2} e^{2\psi-2\varphi} A_{\phi,\rho} A_{\phi,z} + 2\varphi_{,\rho}\varphi_{,z}, \quad (11)$$

where ∇^2 is the Laplacian operator in the (ρ, z) coordinates, namely, $\nabla^2 = \partial_\rho^2 + \partial_z^2 + \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho$ and $\Delta = \partial_\rho^2 + \partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho$. From equation (10) or (11) one can determine the function γ if ψ , φ , A_t , A_ϕ are known. By virtue of this, for our present purposes it will be sufficient to consider the relations given by (6-9).

To proceed further, one defines the quantities provided by the following relations:

$$K = -\varphi - \psi, \quad L = \psi - \varphi. \quad (12)$$

They enable us to rewrite the above system of partial differential equations (6)-(9), in the forms as

$$\nabla^2 K + e^{2K} \nabla \tilde{A}_t \nabla \tilde{A}_t = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\nabla^2 \tilde{A}_t + 2 \nabla K \nabla \tilde{A}_t = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\nabla^2 L + e^{2L} \nabla \tilde{A}_\phi \nabla \tilde{A}_\phi = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\Delta \tilde{A}_\phi + 2 \nabla L \nabla \tilde{A}_\phi = 0, \quad (16)$$

where we have defined the quantities which imply

$$\tilde{A}_t = \frac{A_t}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \tilde{A}_\phi = \frac{i A_\phi}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (17)$$

As a result, we have obtained two pairs of equations described in terms of $\tilde{A}_t$, K and the other for $\tilde{A}_\phi$ and L . On the other hand, one notices that equation (14) allows us to specify a pseudopotential η which yields

$$\eta_\rho = \rho e^{2K} \tilde{A}_{t,z}, \quad \eta_z = -\rho e^{2K} \tilde{A}_{t,\rho}, \quad (18)$$

while the relation (16) leads to the following pseudopotential χ :

$$\chi_\rho = -\frac{e^{2L} \tilde{A}_{\phi,z}}{\rho}, \quad \chi_z = \frac{e^{2L} \tilde{A}_{\phi,\rho}}{\rho}. \quad (19)$$

Our main aim is to rewrite the system of equations (13-16) in the forms similar to the Ernst ones. In order to do this, we introduce two complex scalars, determined by

$$\epsilon_1 = \rho e^K + i \eta, \quad (20)$$

$$\epsilon_2 = e^L + i \chi. \quad (21)$$

The complex scalar allow us to arrange equations (13-14) and (15-16) in the following two complex relations:

$$\left(\bar{\epsilon}_1 + \epsilon_1 \right) \nabla^2 \epsilon_1 = 2 \nabla \epsilon_1 \nabla \epsilon_1, \quad (22)$$

$$\left(\bar{\epsilon}_2 + \epsilon_2 \right) \nabla^2 \epsilon_2 = 2 \nabla \epsilon_2 \nabla \epsilon_2, \quad (23)$$

where a bar denotes complex conjugation.

The received relations (22) and (23) enable us to combine in a convenient and a symmetric fashion the two equations governing φ , ψ and the adequate components of $U(1)$ -gauge field. Each of the discussed relation constitute a sigma model.

A. Boundary conditions

In this subsection we pay attention to the relevant boundary conditions in the case under consideration. As was pointed out, dilaton Melvin-Schwarzschild black hole [20] obtained by the implementation of a Harrison transformation to the known black hole solution, asymptotically approaches the so-called dilaton Melvin solution given in Ref.[14]. In order to provide some continuity with the known results concerning stationary axisymmetric as well as Einstein-Maxwell Melvin black hole uniqueness theorems, we introduce in two-dimensional manifold the spheroidal coordinates provided by

$$\rho^2 = (\lambda^2 - c^2) (1 - \mu^2), \quad z = \lambda \mu, \quad (24)$$

where $\mu = \cos \theta$ is chosen in such a way that the black hole event horizon boundary is situated at a constant value of $\lambda = c$. On the other hand, two rotation axis segments which distinguish the south and the north segments of the event horizon are described by the respective limit $\mu = \pm 1$. Just we obtain the line element in the form as

$$d\rho^2 + dz^2 = (\lambda^2 - \mu^2 c^2) \left(\frac{d\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - c^2} + \frac{d\mu^2}{1 - \mu^2} \right). \quad (25)$$

We also introduce the quantities which yield

$$X = e^{-2\psi} \rho^2, \quad e^{-2\psi+2\gamma} = \frac{e^h}{X}, \quad (26)$$

where h is a function of (ρ, z) -coordinates. Introducing such coordinates we assume that the black hole event horizon has topology of S^2 sphere.

In what follows one will examine the domain of outer communication $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$ as a rectangle. Namely, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \mathcal{D}^{(1)} &= \{\mu = 1, \lambda = c, \dots, R\}, \\ \partial \mathcal{D}^{(2)} &= \{\lambda = c, \mu = 1, \dots, -1\}, \\ \partial \mathcal{D}^{(3)} &= \{\mu = -1, \lambda = c, \dots, R\}, \\ \partial \mathcal{D}^{(4)} &= \{\lambda = R, \mu = -1, \dots, 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The relevant boundary conditions may be cast as follows. At infinity, we insist that X , A_ϕ , A_t are well-behaved functions and the solution in question asymptotically tends to the Melvin-dilaton one. It implies the following behaviors:

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} X = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} B^2 \rho^2 \right)^{-2} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}) \right), \quad (28)$$

$$A_\phi = \frac{B \rho^2}{2 \left(1 + \frac{B^2 \rho^2}{2} \right)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}) \right), \quad (29)$$

$$A_t = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad \varphi = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad (30)$$

where now ρ stands for the asymptotical cylindrical coordinate given by $\rho^2 \rightarrow \lambda^2 (1 - \mu^2)$. On the black hole event horizon, where $\lambda \rightarrow c$, the quantities in question behave regularly (see, e.g., [37, 38, 40]). Namely, they yield

$$X = \mathcal{O}(1 - \mu^2), \quad \frac{1}{X} \partial_\mu X = -\frac{2\mu}{1 - \mu^2} + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (31)$$

$$\partial_\lambda A_\phi = \mathcal{O}(1 - \mu^2), \quad \partial_\mu A_\phi = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (32)$$

$$\partial_\lambda A_t = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \partial_\mu A_t = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \varphi = \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (33)$$

On the other hand, on the symmetry axis as $\mu \rightarrow \pm 1$, we obtain

$$X = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \frac{1}{X} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (34)$$

$$A_\phi = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \partial_\lambda A_\phi = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \partial_\lambda \varphi = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (35)$$

$$A_t = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \partial_\lambda A_t = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \varphi = \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (36)$$

III. UNIQUENESS OF BLACK HOLE SOLUTIONS

In order to proceed to the uniqueness proof, we recall that [50, 51] Ernst's equations can be included in the single matrix equation. The matrix equation written out in terms of the adequate elements represents relation which constitute various combinations of the aforementioned equations. In the case under consideration the matrix equation can be expressed as

$$\partial_\rho \left[P_{(i)}^{-1} \partial_\rho P_{(i)} \right] + \partial_z \left[P_{(i)}^{-1} \partial_z P_{(i)} \right] = 0, \quad (37)$$

where the subscript (i) in P matrix refers respectively to $\tilde{A}_t$, $\tilde{A}_\phi$ gauge fields. The explicit form of the matrices are given by

$$P_{(t)} = \frac{1}{\rho e^K} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta \\ \eta & \rho^2 e^{2K} + \eta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_{(\phi)} = \frac{1}{e^L} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \chi \\ \chi & e^{2L} + \chi^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

By simple calculations one can check that, the above matrix equations when written out explicitly in terms of its elements constitute four relations, all of them are various combinations of the equations (22-23) as well as their complex conjugations. It can be noticed that when B is any constant invertible matrix, then $B P B^{-1}$ represents also solution of the matrix equation in question. In principle, choosing different forms of the matrix B one is able to derive all the transformations which are applicable to the system of Ernst's equations.

In order to prove a uniqueness theorem we shall follow the line described in Refs.[38, 52]. To begin with, one should assume enough differentiability for the matrices components in a domain of outer communication $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$ of the two-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$. Let $P_{(i)1}$ and $P_{(i)2}$ will be two different solutions of the equation (37), respectively for the cases of $\tilde{A}_0$ and $\tilde{A}_\phi$ components of $U(1)$ -gauge field. The difference of the above relations can be written as

$$\nabla \left(P_{(i)1}^{-1} (\nabla Q_{(i)}) P_{(i)2} \right) = 0, \quad (39)$$

where $Q_{(i)} = P_{(i)1} P_{(i)2}^{-1}$ and $i = t, \phi$. Multiplying the above relations by $Q_{(i)}^\dagger$ and taking the trace, we get the expression which implies

$$\nabla^2 q_{(i)} = \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla Q_{(i)}^\dagger \right) P_{(i)1}^{-1} (\nabla Q_{(i)}) P_{(i)2} \right], \quad (40)$$

where we have denoted by $q_{(i)} = \text{Tr} Q_{(i)}$. Having in mind hermicity and positive definiteness of the matrices $P_{(i)1}$ and $P_{(i)2}$, we can postulate the following form of the above matrices:

$$P_{(t)} = M M^\dagger, \quad P_{(\phi)} = N N^\dagger. \quad (41)$$

It can be revealed that the explicit forms of the matrices M and N are provided by

$$M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{K}{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \eta & \rho e^K \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_\alpha = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{L}{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \chi & e^L \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

Relation (41) enables one to rewrite equation (40) in the form which yields

$$\nabla^2 q_{(i)} = \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{J}_{(i)}^\dagger \mathcal{J}_{(i)} \right), \quad (43)$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{(t)} = M_1^{-1} (\nabla Q_{(A)}) M_2$ and $\mathcal{J}_{(\phi)} = N_1^{-1} (\nabla Q_{(B)}) N_2$. Then, it can be readily find that $q_{(i)}$ imply the following:

$$q_{(t)} = 2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2 e^{K_1+K_2}} \left[\left(\eta_1 - \eta_2 \right)^2 + \rho^2 \left(e^{K_1} - e^{K_2} \right)^2 \right], \quad (44)$$

$$q_{(\phi)} = 2 + \frac{1}{e^{L_1+L_2}} \left[\left(\chi_1 - \chi_2 \right)^2 + \left(e^{L_1} - e^{L_2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (45)$$

By virtue of the Stoke's theorem one can readily integrate the relation (43) over the chosen domain of outer communication $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$. Consequently, one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial \ll \mathcal{D} \gg} \nabla_m q_{(i)} dS^m &= \int_{\partial \ll \mathcal{D} \gg} d\lambda \sqrt{\frac{h_{\lambda\lambda}}{h_{\mu\mu}}} \partial_\mu q_{(i)} |_{\mu=\text{const}} + \int_{\partial \ll \mathcal{D} \gg} d\mu \sqrt{\frac{h_{\mu\mu}}{h_{\lambda\lambda}}} \partial_\lambda q_{(i)} |_{\lambda=\text{const}} \\ &= \int_{\ll \mathcal{D} \gg} \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{J}_{(i)}^\dagger \mathcal{J}_{(i)} \right) dV. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The main task is to verify if the behaviour of the left-hand side of the above equation on all parts of the considered boundary of the two-dimensional manifold $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$. In order to perform this task let us rewrite $q_{(i)}$ in the more adequate forms

$$q_{(t)} = (\Delta\eta)^2 \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{X_1}} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{X_2}} e^{\Sigma\varphi} + \frac{\sqrt{X_1}}{\rho} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{X_2}} e^{\Delta\varphi} + \frac{\sqrt{X_2}}{\rho} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{X_1}} e^{-\Delta\varphi}, \quad (47)$$

$$q_{(\phi)} = (\Delta\chi)^2 \frac{\sqrt{X_1}}{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{X_2}}{\rho} e^{-\Sigma\varphi} + \frac{\sqrt{X_2}}{\rho} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{X_1}} e^{\Delta\varphi} + \frac{\sqrt{X_1}}{\rho} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{X_2}} e^{-\Delta\varphi}, \quad (48)$$

where we put for $\Delta f = f_2 - f_1$ and $\Sigma f = f_1 + f_2$.

On the black hole event horizon, X , $A_{(t)}$, $A_{(\phi)}$ and φ are well-behaved functions with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -asymptotic. Moreover, $\rho \simeq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\lambda - c})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow c$. The same type of behavior one has for $\sqrt{h_{\mu\mu}/h_{\lambda\lambda}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\lambda - c})$. Then, one can conclude that $\nabla_m q_{(i)}$ vanishes on the black hole event horizon.

On the symmetry axis, when $\mu \pm 1$, all the quantities under consideration are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and ρ tends to $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{1 - \mu})$, as $\mu \rightarrow 1$. On the other hand, when $\mu \rightarrow -1$, we have that $\rho \simeq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{1 + \mu})$. The square root has the form $\sqrt{h_{\lambda\lambda}/h_{\mu\mu}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{1 + \mu})$, when $\mu \rightarrow -1$ and $\sqrt{h_{\lambda\lambda}/h_{\mu\mu}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{1 - \mu})$, when $\mu \rightarrow 1$. Just, having in mind the relations (47)-(48) enables us to find that $q_{(i)}$ remain finite on the axis, implying that $\nabla_m q_{(i)} = 0$, for $\mu \pm 1$.

It remains to take into account the contribution for the integration along $\partial\mathcal{D}^{(4)}$, when $\lambda = R \rightarrow \infty$. In the aforementioned limit one obtains the following relations for q_i :

$$q_{(t)} \simeq \frac{(\Delta\eta|_{\infty})^2}{4} B_1^2 B_2^2 \lambda^2 (1 - \mu^2) (1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})) + \left(\frac{B_2^2}{B_1^2} + \frac{B_1^2}{B_2^2} \right) \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (49)$$

$$q_{(\phi)} \simeq \frac{(\Delta\chi|_{\infty})^2}{\lambda^2 (1 - \mu^2) (B_1^2 + B_2^2)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{(B_1^2 + B_2^2) \lambda^2 (1 - \mu^2)} \right) + \left(\frac{B_1^2}{B_2^2} + \frac{B_2^2}{B_1^2} \right) \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (50)$$

To proceed further, we calculate η and χ and take the limit in question. It can be verified that the resulting expressions are provided by

$$\eta|_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \simeq -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{B^4 (1 - \mu^2)} \mu^2 \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2}) + f(\mu), \quad (51)$$

$$\chi|_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \simeq -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} B \left(\mu - \frac{(1 - \mu^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\mu} \right) \lambda + const, \quad (52)$$

where $f(\mu)$ is a complicated polynomial in μ -coordinate. It is irrelevant for us because we have partial derivative with respect to λ -coordinate, $\partial_\lambda q_{(i)}$, in calculation the term for $r \rightarrow \infty$. In view of the above relations (49)-(52), we conclude that $q_{(t)}$ and $q_{(\phi)}$ tend to a constant value, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Then, by virtue of the above, one can deduce that

$$\int_{\ll \mathcal{D} \gg} Tr \left(\mathcal{J}_{(i)}^\dagger \mathcal{J}_{(i)} \right) = 0, \quad (53)$$

which in turn implies that, $P_{(i)1} = P_{(i)2}$ at all points of the region $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$ of the two-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$. Just when one considers two black hole solutions characterized by $(X_{(1)}, A_{(t)}^{(1)}, A_{(\phi)}^{(1)}, \varphi_{(1)})$ and $(X_{(2)}, A_{(t)}^{(2)}, A_{(\phi)}^{(2)}, \varphi_{(2)})$ of Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton equations of motion with a regular domain of outer communication $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$, being subject to the same boundary and regularity conditions, they are equal. This envisages the uniqueness of the dilaton Melvin-Schwarzschild black hole solution.

In summary, the direct consequence of our research can be formulated as follows:

Theorem:

Let us examine a two-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a local coordinate system (ρ, z) . Suppose further that, the domain of outer communication $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$ is a region in the manifold in question with boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$. Let $P_{(i)}$ be hermitian, positive definite two-dimensional matrices with unit determinants, respectively for $i = \tilde{A}_t, \tilde{A}_\phi$ components of Maxwell $U(1)$ -gauge field. Moreover, let on the boundary of the domain of outer communication matrices $P_{(i)1}$ and $P_{(i)2}$ satisfy equation $\nabla_m q_{(i)} = 0$, where $q_{(i)}$ are given by the relations (44)-(45). Then, $P_{(i)1} = P_{(i)2}$ in all domain of outer communication $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$ provided that for at least one point $d \in \ll \mathcal{D} \gg$ the following is satisfied

$$P_{(i)1}(d) = P_{(i)2}(d).$$

Because of the fact that the remaining metric functions are uniquely determined by the Ernst's potentials, they have to be identical. This concludes the proof of the uniqueness of the dilaton Melvin-Schwarzschild black hole. Namely, all the solutions of dilaton gravity with the same boundary conditions as Melvin universe are the only static, spherically symmetric black hole in dilaton gravity with non-vanishing A_t, A_ϕ components of $U(1)$ Maxwell gauge field.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In our paper we have discussed the uniqueness of static axially symmetric black hole spacetime in dilaton gravity being the low-energy limit of the heterotic string theory. It has been shown that the underlying equations of motion can be cast in the Ernst's like system of complex relations which can be written in the form of the matrix equation. Choosing the domain of outer communication $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$ as rectangle and using the adequate boundary conditions, we found that the two solution of the matrix equation, subject to the same boundary and regularity conditions, are equal in $\ll \mathcal{D} \gg$. It enables us to conclude that the dilaton Melvin-Schwarzschild black hole which asymptotically tends to dilaton Melvin magnetic universe solution, is the only axisymmetric static, S^2 -topology black hole in dilaton gravity with nonzero A_0 and A_ϕ components of $U(1)$ -gauge field.

Acknowledgments

MR was partially supported by the grant of the National Science Center *DEC* – 2014/15/*B/ST2*/00089.

-
- [1] M.Melvin, Phys. Lett. B **8**, 65 (1964).
 - [2] M.Melvin, Phys. Rev. B **139**, 225 (1965).
 - [3] D.Garfinkle and M.Melvin, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3859 (1994).
 - [4] D.Garfinkle and M.Melvin, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 1188 (1992).
 - [5] F.J.Ernst, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 54 (1976),
F.J.Ernst and W.J.Wild, *ibid.* **17**, 182 (1976),
A.G.Diaz, *ibid.* **26**, 155 (1984).
 - [6] M.Ortaggio, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 064034 (2004).
 - [7] R.Konoplya, Phys. Lett. B **644**, 219 (2007).
 - [8] G.W.Gibbons, A.H.Mujtaba, and C.N.Pope, Class. Quantum Grav. **30**, 125008 (2013),
G.W.Gibbons, Y.Pang, C.N.Pope, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 044029 (2014).
 - [9] R.M.Wald, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 1680 (1974),
A.N.Aliev, D.V.Galtsov, and A.A.Sokolov, Sov. Phys. J. **23**, 179 (1980),
A.N.Aliev and D.V.Galtsov, *ibid.* **32**, 790 (1989).
 - [10] V.P.Frolov, A.A.Shoom, and C.Tzounis, *Spectral Line Boardening in Magnetized Black Holes*, gr-qc **1405.0510** (2014).
 - [11] D.Kastor and J.Traschen, Class. Quantum Grav. **31**, 075023 (2014).
 - [12] A.Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. B **346**, 55 (1995).
 - [13] G.W.Gibbons and K.Maeda. Nucl. Phys. B **298**, 741 (1988).
 - [14] F.Dowker, J.P.Gauntlett, D.Kastor, and J.Traschen, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 2909 (1994).
 - [15] F.Dowker, J.P.Gauntlett, S.B.Giddings, G.T.Horowitz, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2662 (1994).
 - [16] G.W.Gibbons and C.A.R.Herdeiro, Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, 1677 (2001).
 - [17] E.Radu and R.J.Slagter, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 2379 (2004).
 - [18] S.Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 084068 (2013).
 - [19] M.Ortaggio, J.High Energy Phys. **05** (2005) 048.
 - [20] S.Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 064008 (2006).
 - [21] J.Russo and A.Tseytlin, J.High Energy Phys. **04** (1998) 014,
M.S.Costa and M.Gutperle, *ibid.* **03**, 2001 (027).
 - [22] M.Astorino, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 084029 (2013).
 - [23] M.Astorino, J.High Energy Phys. **06** (2012) 087.
 - [24] M.Astorino, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 104027 (2013).
 - [25] M.Astorino, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 044022 (2014).
 - [26] S.H.Mazharimousavi and M.Halilsoy, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 064021 (2013).
 - [27] W.Israel, Phys. Rev. **164**, 1776 (1967).
 - [28] H.Müller zum Hagen, C.D.Robinson and H.J.Seifert, Gen. Rel. Grav. **4**, 53 (1973),
H.Müller zum Hagen, C.D.Robinson and H.J.Seifert, *ibid.* **5**, 61 (1974).
 - [29] C.D.Robinson, Gen. Rel. Grav. **8**, 695 (1977).
 - [30] G.L.Bunting G.L and A.K.M.Masood-ul-Alam, Gen. Rel. Grav. **19**, 147 (1987).
 - [31] P.Ruback, Class. Quantum Grav. **5**, L155 (1988).
 - [32] A.K.M.Masood-ul-Alam, Class. Quantum Grav. **9**, L53 (1992).
 - [33] M.Heusler, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, L49 (1994).
 - [34] M.Heusler, Class. Quantum Grav. **10**, 791 (1993).
 - [35] P.T.Chruściel, Class. Quantum Grav. **16**, 661 (1999).

- [36] P.T.Chruściel, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 689 (1999).
- [37] B.Carter in *Black Holes*, edited by C.DeWitt and B.S.DeWitt (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973),
B.Carter in *Gravitation and Astrophysics*, edited by B.Carter and J.B.Hartle (Plenum Press, New York, 1987),
C.D.Robinson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34**, 905 (1975),
- [38] P.O.Mazur, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15**, 3173 (1982),
P.O.Mazur, *Phys. Lett. A* **100**, 341 (1984).
- [39] G.L.Bunting, PHD thesis, Univ.of New England, Armidale N.S.W., 1983.
- [40] P.O.Mazur, *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems* hep-th **0101012** (2001),
M.Heusler, *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997).
- [41] G.W.Gibbons, D.Ida, and T.Shiromizu, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 044010 (2002),
G.W.Gibbons, D.Ida, and T.Shiromizu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 041101 (2002),
S.Hollands, A.Ishibashi, and R.M.Wald, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **271**, 699 (2007),
M.Rogatko, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19**, L151 (2002),
M.Rogatko, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 084025 (2003),
M.Rogatko, *ibid.* **70**, 044023 (2004),
M.Rogatko, *ibid.* **71**, 024031 (2005),
M.Rogatko, *ibid.* **73**, 124027 (2006).
- [42] Y.Morisawa and D.Ida, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 124005 (2004),
Y.Morisawa, S.Tomizawa, and Y.Yasui, *ibid.* **77**, 064019 (2008),
M.Rogatko, *ibid.* **70**, 084025 (2004),
M.Rogatko, *ibid.* **77**, 124037 (2008),
S.Hollands and S.Yazadjiev, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **283**, 749 (2008),
S.Hollands and S.Yazadjiev, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **25**, 095010 (2008),
D.Ida, A.Ishibashi, and T.Shiromizu, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **189**, 52 (2011),
S.Hollands and A.Ishibashi, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29**, 163001 (2012).
- [43] M.Rogatko, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 064005 (2012).
- [44] A.K.M.Massod-ul-Alam, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 2649 (1993),
M.Mars and W.Simon, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **6**, 279 (2003),
M.Rogatko, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 2425 (1997),
M.Rogatko, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 044011 (1998),
M.Rogatko, *ibid.* **59**, 104010 (1999),
M.Rogatko, *ibid.* **82**, 044017 (2010),
M.Rogatko, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19**, 875 (2002),
S.Tomizawa, Y.Yasui, and A.Ishibashi, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 124023 (2009),
S.Tomizawa, Y.Yasui, and A.Ishibashi, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 084037 (2010),
J.B.Gutowski, *J.High Energy Phys.* 0408 (049) 2004,
J.P.Gauntlett, J.B.Gutowski, C.M.Hull, S.Pakis, and H.S.Real, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 4587 (2003),
M.Rogatko, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 044020 (2014).
- [45] T.Shiromizu and S.Ohashi, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 087501 (2013).
- [46] M.Rogatko, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 124022 (2014).
- [47] T.Shiromizu and K.Tanake, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 081504 (2013).
- [48] M.Rogatko, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 024051 (2013).
- [49] W.A.Hiscock, *J. Math. Phys.* **22**, 1828 (1981).
- [50] M.Gürses and B.C.Xanthopoulos, *Phys. Rev. D* **26**, 1912 (1982).
- [51] M.Gürses, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 436 (1984).
- [52] A.Eris and M.Gürses, *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 1303 (1977),
A.Eris, M.Gürses, and A.Karasu, *ibid.* **25**, 1489 (1984),
M.Gürses and E.Sermutlu, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12**, 2799 (1995).